UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,987	03/02/2004	Csaba Truckai	DFINE.034CP1	1287
	10/790,987 03/02/2004 Csaba Truckai	EXAMINER		
			YOON, TAE H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Intonvious Summany	10/790,987	TRUCKAI, CSABA				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tae H. Yoon	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Tae H. Yoon</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mr. Villata.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>07 July 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>15-19</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that the objected claims 15-19 in the office action of April 8, 2008 is confusing since claim 15 is an indepednent claim free of any prior art rejection. The examiner agreed with applicant's statement upon reviewing the record, and said claims 15-19 should have been indicated as allowed, not objected. Thus, claims 15-19 are allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Tae H Yoon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17 Examiner's signature, if requi					